

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 64672/JPW/SHS/NS 09/828,615 04/06/2001 William C. Olson 5850 09/09/2003 7590 Cooper & Dunham, LLP **EXAMINER** 1185 Avenue of the Americas STUCKER, JEFFREY J New York, NY 10036 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1648 DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

run 6 months or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
=== 0 210 1112 10 1000011
r, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee hich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR lculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
se to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the folicwing effect, but it is not deemed ation in condition for allowance:
mendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
e new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
e the issue of new matter. (See Note).
not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
sent additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
decires portaining a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling e claims.
appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🖊 will not be entered and the status of the claims will
0:
/- 22
r,
sponse has overcome the following rejection(s):
bit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
nibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
correction has has not been approved by the examiner.

Serial Number: 09/828615 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

This Advisory Action is in response to the Response to Final Rejection filed 8/25/03.

The rejection of claims 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Vila-Coro et al. (PNAS 3/00) is maintained.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues in regards to proposed new claim 23 that the Vila-Coro reference describes a prophylactic treatment which involves treating a group of SCID mice prior to infection and viral steady-state whereas the proposed new claim 23 specifically recites treatment solely after a viral steady state is Applicant points to Poignard and Gauduin to show that reached. antibodies may be useful in preventing infection while providing a limited degree of protection, or none at all, when administered after infection has taken place. Applicant notes that Poignard disclosed the use of MAb b12 purportedly disclosed for use in the . Vila-Coro reference. Gauduin also teaches the use of this same Applicant argues that these references indicate that the antibody is effective only when it is administered no more than several hours after viral exposure.

Applicant's comments concerning the b12 MAb are not understood nor convincing because the antibody of Poignard and Gauduin is directed to an epitope of HIV gp120 (Gauduin, p. 1389, last

Serial Number: 09/828615 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

paragraph of the second column), not an epitope of chemokines as in the instant claims and Vila-Coro. Therefore, these comments are not relevant to the rejection.

Applicant reiterates previously proffered arguments at the bottom of page 22 bridging to the top of page 23. These are not convincing for reasons of record.

The arguments concerning new claim 24 were reviewed but are not persuasive at this point as the claim brings in a new limitation after final rejection. Specifically, the limitation of inhibiting binding of $HIV-1_{JR-FL}$ gp120 to CCR5 raises new issues that would require further consideration and search as these limitations have not been previously presented or considered.

Thus, the proposed new claims will not be entered and the instant invention is still considered to be obvious over Vila-Coro et al.

No claims are allowed.

Papers related this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

The Group 1600 Fax numbers are: $(703)\ 308-4242$ and $(703)\ 305-3014$.

Unofficial communications may be faxed to: (703) 308-4426.

Serial Number: 09/828615

Art Unit: 1648

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Stucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-4237. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (703) 308-4027.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center Customer Service representative whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198.

JEFFREY STUCKER